**ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION**

**Hon. Al Muratsuchi, Chair**

**2025-26 COMMITTEE RULES**

The Committee on Education will operate under the Joint Rules of the Senate and the Assembly, and the Standing Rules of the Assembly. The following Committee Rules are designed to further expedite the conduct of Committee business.

**1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION REQUEST**

1. When a bill is referred to the Committee, the Committee Secretary shall

forward to the author a Background Information Request to be completed to assist inthe preparation of the Committee analysis. All Background Information Requests must be returned to the Committee within five (5) business days of receipt of the request, or earlier upon request of the Committee.

(b) If the author fails to return a completed Background Information Request by

the due date, the Chair may refuse to hear a bill, even if the bill has been set. Such a set may be counted against the three sets allowed a bill in Joint Rule 62(a). Lack of a completed Background Information Request may also be noted in the Committee Analysis for that bill.

(c) Background Information Requests and associated supplementary materials

shall also be provided to the appropriate Republican policy staff.

**2. SETTING OF BILLS**

1. Bills may be set for hearing on a date chosen at the discretion of the Chair.
2. Pursuant to Joint Rule 62 (a) and (b), a bill may be set (i.e. notice of the

hearing published in the Assembly Daily File) for hearing in the Committee a maximum of three(3) times. A bill set for hearing that is either not heard at the request of the author or is not heard in order to permit the preparation of amendments shall count against the three sets allowed. If a hearing as set in the File specifically indicates “testimony only," such hearing shall not be counted against the three sets allowed.

**3. AUTHOR’S AMENDMENTS PRIOR TO HEARING**

(a) Only amendments provided in Legislative Counsel form shall be accepted by the Committee. An author shall provide, electronically, the amendments with the member’s digital signature and the in-context version, or in another form and manner requested by the Chair.

(b) Subject to the Joint Rules, author’s amendments shall be accepted by the Committee Secretary not later than nine (9) calendar days prior to the Committee hearing, or the Monday at 12:00 pm one week prior to the Wednesday at which the bill has been set. Substantive amendments must be accompanied by a revised Background Information Request. If the author fails to return a Background Information Request by the due date, this may be noted in the analysis, and the Chair may refuse to hear a bill, even if the bill has been set. Such a set may be counted against the three sets allowed a bill in Joint Rule 62(a).

(c) For two-year Assembly bills eligible to be heard by the Committee in the second year of a Legislative session, an author wishing to have a bill heard by the Committee shall indicate that they wish to have it bill heard, and provide any author’s amendments and a Background Information Request to the Committee, by the deadline established at the discretion of the Chair. Failure to do so may result in a bill not being set for hearing.

(d) For a non-substantive bill pending referral which the author anticipates will be referred to this Committee, the author must provide the Committee with the author’s amendments in Legislative Counsel form when they are provided to the Rules Committee. Failure to do so may result in a bill not being set for hearing.

**4. COMMITTEE ANALYSES**

Pursuant to Assembly Rule 56.5, a Committee analysis of each bill set for hearing shall be made available to the public at least one working day prior to the hearing, where a working day is defined as any day on which the Assembly Daily File is published. In the case of special meetings, the analysis shall be made available to the public at the beginning of the hearing.

**5. LETTERS OF SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION**

(a) Letters of support or opposition must be received by the Committee no later than 5:00 pm on the Wednesday of the week preceding the next hearing in order for that support or opposition to be listed in the Committee analysis.

(b) Letters of support and opposition from organizations or agencies must be received on letterhead and include a signature in order to be listed in the Committee analysis. Letters from organizations or agencies must also be provided by an individual or individuals authorized to state that organization's or agency's position, and must clearly state the position. Letters stating a position of “oppose unless amended,” when the bill has not been amended as specified, will be listed under opposition in the analysis. Letters stating a position of “support if amended,” when the bill has not been amended as specified, will not be listed in the analysis. The Committee does not accept floor alerts. Letters from individuals are listed as “individuals,” and not by name.

(c) Letters should be submitted using the Legislature’s position letter portal which can be accessed through the Committee’s website.

(d) If an organization changes its position on a measure, it shall submit a letter indicating the new position. Failure to do so may result in incorrect information published in an analysis.

(e) When multiple organizations submit a letter as a group, the letter shall be submitted in a manner which ensures that all of the organizations are listed individually in the Legislature’s position letter portal. Failure to do so may result in incorrect information published in an analysis.

(f) It is the responsibility of the Author’s office to verify support and opposition to

the Committee for purposes of Committee and Floor analyses when requested by the Committee and by deadlines established by the Chair. Failure to do so may result in incorrect or incomplete information on the analysis.

**6. HEARING AGENDA**

(a) Bills set for hearing shall be heard in the order of member sign-in,or at the discretion of the Chair. Exception shall be made for bills placed on the Committee’s Consent Calendar and those designated for waiver of presentation. Committee members’ bills will be heard after non-Committee members’ bills. When the Chair determines that another order of business ismore expedient, measures may be taken up out of order or set as a special order of business. If an author is not present or is otherwise unable to present the bill when the author’s bill is called, the measure will be passed temporarily.

(b) If a bill is to be presented by someone other than the author, it will be taken up after all authors (including those temporarily “passed over” and Committee members) have been accommodated, unless the Chair determines that another order is more expedient. Anyone other than the author, or another member of the Committee, who is to present the bill must provide the Committee with an authorizing letter signed by the author, or in a form and manner determined by the Chair.

(c) Prior to the hearing, the Chair may prepare a consent calendar for bills of a non-controversial nature. Any consent calendar shall include bills that: (i) are expected to receive a unanimous do pass or do pass as amended recommendation from the Committee, (ii) have no registered opposition on file with the Committee, and (iii) have been approved by the authors to be placed on the consent calendar. The Chair may take up the consent calendar at any opportunity convenient to the Committee. If any Committee member objects to a bill being placed on the consent calendar, then that bill shall be removed from the consent calendar. If a bill is removed from the consent calendar, the author's office will be notified and the bill will be taken in the order specified in 6(a) and (b) above.

 (d) The Chair may designate bills for which the author’s presentation may be waived, Individuals wishing to make statements in support or opposition to a measure shall be able to do so at the appropriate time. Each designated bill shall be voted on separately by a roll call vote. If any Committee member objects, or if the author of a bill approved for this designation chooses not to waive presentation, a sergeant will call the author’s office, and the bill shall be presented to the Committee in the order specified in 6(a) and (b) above. (e) The Committee, at the discretion of the Chair, will seek to consolidate related subject matter into a single legislative proposal to avoid reporting out duplicative or conflicting bills.

**7. MEETING AND QUORUM**

1. A majority of the Committee membership shall constitute a quorum; a quorum is

necessary to take action or to adopt amendments. Whenever one or more Committee members is disqualified from taking any action on a bill pursuant to Joint Rule 44, a quorum shall remain a majority of the membership of the Committee.  A member shall advise the Chair of any such disqualification at the beginning of the hearing. If a quorum is not present, the Chair may start or continue the hearing as a subcommittee, subject to both Assembly and Joint Rules.  A quorum is necessary to report a bill out of Committee.

1. In the absence of the Chair, or when the Chair is presenting a bill, the Vice Chair

shall preside. In the absence of both the Chair and the Vice Chair, another member designated by the Chair shall preside. Any member having to leave the Committee for any reason shall advise the Chair where the member can be reached.

**8. VOTING**

(a) Voting in the Committee shall be conducted pursuant to Joint Rules 62(c) and (d), and to Assembly Rule 58.5 and 68.5. Upon conclusion of a roll call vote, absent a request to place a bill on-call, the vote shall be announced.

(b) Prior to announcing the vote, upon the request of the author or any member of the Committee, the Chair shall announce that the bill subject to a vote will be placed on-call for a period of time not to exceed the adjournment of the Committee meeting. Absent extraordinary circumstances, a vote on a bill that is on-call is not permitted while testimony is being taken. The Chair shall announce the time or times when the roll shall be opened, and any vote on a bill that is on-call may be cast.

 (c) After the final vote on a motion is announced, any member may change or add a vote prior to the adjournment of the hearing, unless the change or addition would alter the announced outcome of the vote.  A member may also change or add a vote subsequent to the adjournment of the hearing provided that the Chair, or another member of the Committee whom they designate, is present and that the change or addition would not alter the announced outcome of the vote.

(d) Pursuant to Assembly Rule 59, the Committee may decide not to give a bill a do pass recommendation, but instead refer the subject matter of the bill to the Rules Committee for study. The Committee may, however, subsequently reconsider and act on the bill.

(e) Any motion from the Chair made "without objection" does not require a second; however, upon objection by any member, such motion will be automatically withdrawn.

(f) Reconsideration of a bill, pursuant to Joint Rule 62(a), may be granted only once. A reconsideration vote cannot be taken without the same notice required to set a bill, unless it is taken at the same meeting at which the bill failed passage and the author is present. If reconsideration is granted, the Committee may vote on the bill immediately if theauthor is presentor may postpone the vote until the next regular hearing. Authors seeking reconsideration after the hearing at which the bill failed passage shall notify the Committee Secretary in writing in sufficient time for the required notice to be published in the file and for the Committee to take action within fifteen (15) legislative days of the initial defeat of the bill. If the motion for reconsideration or the vote on the bill after the granting of reconsideration fails, the bill shall be immediately returned to the Chief Clerk. At the discretion of the Chair, additional testimony may be permitted on a bill that has been granted reconsideration.

(g) Authors shall return any coauthor revision forms to the Committee prior to the scheduled start of the hearing on the bill. At the Chair’s discretion, the author may add members who have requested to be coauthors during the hearing.

**9. LIMITATIONS ON TESTIMONY**

 The Chair, at the Chair’s discretion, and based on the time allotted for the hearing and the agenda, may:  1) limit duplicative testimony; 2) limit the number of witnesses providing testimony in support or opposition to a bill; or 3) limit the time allotted to the presentation of testimony on a bill provided that both support and opposition receive equal time for their presentations.

**10. REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS AND POLICIES**

(a) Committee staff may review any proposed or existing rules, regulations, guidelines, advisories, policies, or practices of the State Department of Education, the State Board of Education, the Commission on Teacher Credentialing, or any other agency or program that affects, directly or indirectly, any education program or organizational entity for conformity with the enabling statute and with legislative intent to the full extent and scope of the Committee’s jurisdiction.

(b) Any rules, regulations, guidelines, advisories, policies, or practices determined by assigned staff that appear to be inconsistent with state or federal law or legislative intent may be placed on the Committee’s agenda for appropriate action to the full extent and scope of the Committee’s jurisdiction.

(c) Whenever reports prepared by the Legislative Analyst’s Office or the State Auditor are submitted to the Legislature on a topic in the jurisdiction of the Committee, any findings and legislative recommendations contained therein may be placed on the Committee’s agenda for appropriate action to the full extent and scope of the Committee’s jurisdiction.

**11. PILOT PROJECTS**

It is recommended that any bill that proposes the creation of a pilot project contain a statement of purpose of the proposed pilot project which specifically states the goals or objectives and the length of time of the project; any such bill shall also contain a sunset provision not to exceed five years. Such bill shall also contain a definitive mechanism by which the value and success, if any, of the project may be quantified. This mechanism shall include specific numerical objectives that must be met or exceeded, if a project is to be judged successful, and a suggested time line. Precise cost projections and methods by which costs or savings may be calculated shall be provided on the Background Information Request.

**12. CURRICULUM MEASURES**

 Measures that propose to require, or require consideration of, modifications to state curriculum must comply with requirements set forth in the Committee’s Policy on Curriculum Measures (Attachment 1).[To be submitted separately]

**Attachment 1**

**ASSEMBLY EDUCATION COMMITTEE**

**ASSEMBLYMEMBER AL MURATSUCHI, CHAIR**

**2025-26 SESSION**

**Committee Policy On: BILLS RELATING TO CURRICULUM FRAMEWORKS, THE COURSE OF STUDY, CURRICULUM MANDATES, MODEL CURRICULA, AND OTHER STATE-ADOPTED CURRICULUM.**

**Policy:** Measures which propose to require, or require consideration of, modifications to state curriculum framework, or the course of study, to require that specified content be taught, or to require the development of new model curricula or any other state-adopted curriculum, must comply with requirements set forth in this policy.

The Committee strongly discourages the introduction of measures which require, or require consideration of, modifications to state curriculum through changes to the curriculum framework, or the course of study, which require that specific curriculum be taught, or which require the development of new model curricula or any other state-adopted curriculum.

The Committee encourages Members to engage in the existing administrative processes for modifying state curricula. Members may wish, for example, to provide written comments or public testimony to the Instructional Quality Commission, the State Board of Education, or the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Committee staff can share a model letter to the Instructional Quality Commission. Members may also wish to engage with the Legislative Members who are appointed to serve on the Instructional Quality Commission, or to engage in the Legislature’s oversight or appointment processes for this purpose.

Upon exhausting all administrative processes without success, if a Member wishes to pursue a measure to modify state curriculum, it must meet all of the following requirements:

1. Members may introduce resolutions requesting that the Instructional Quality Commission include specified content at the next revision of a curriculum framework or next adoption of instructional materials for grades K-8, or encouraging local educational agencies to instruct students in specified content.
2. Bills proposing changes to the curriculum frameworks, instructional materials, or the course of study shall either request or require that the Instructional Quality Commission consider including content not already included in the existing or draft curriculum frameworks, instructional materials, the course of study, model curricula or any other state-adopted curriculum, to be added in the next regularly scheduled revision of a framework.
3. For both resolutions and bills, the author must identifyby page number, and include in the Committee background sheet, all existing content in the current content standards and the current curriculum framework for that subject.
4. Content proposed as an addition to a curriculum framework or instructional materials must align with an existing content standard or standards for that subject.

**Rationale:**

The Legislature has vested the Instructional Quality Commission and the State Board of Education with the authority to develop and adopt state curriculum and instructional materials. The Instructional Quality Commission develops curriculum frameworks by convening expert panels, developing drafts, and holding public hearings to solicit input. Changes are frequently made in response to public comment. The frameworks are then adopted by the State Board of Education in a public meeting. The State Board of Education also adopts, in a public process, instructional materials aligned to those frameworks for grades K-8. School district governing boards and charter schools then adopt instructional materials aligned to these standards and frameworks. This process has traditionally occurred on a regular schedule which gives schools a predictable timetable to plan and budget for changes to the curriculum. Local adoption of new curricula also involves significant local cost and investment of resources for professional development.

These existing processes involve practitioners and experts who have in-depth understanding of curriculum and instruction, including the full scope and sequence of the curriculum in each subject and at each grade level, constraints on instructional time and resources, and the relationship of curriculum to state assessments and other measures of student progress. This Committee does not have the capacity or mandate to conduct this kind of review.

Legislation requiring the curriculum frameworks or the course of study to contain specific content overrides this careful and deliberate process. Because legislation forces the inclusion of content without the benefit of thorough review and benefit of context, it can also inadvertently displace other important content in the curriculum.

Model curricula were first developed in the 1990’s in order to provide educators the means to teach about a topic in an in depth manner, on a voluntary basis. At that time, there were few resources available for this purpose on the Internet. Until 2016, only two model curricula were required to be developed. According to the California Department of Education, those curricula are rarely accessed. This may be due to the fact that there is now a vast array of curriculum resources available on the Internet, much of it available at no cost.

Recent legislation has required the development of numerous model curricula. In 2021, the state changed the process for the development of model curricula. County offices of education are now responsible for developing model curricula, in the form of open source, accessible resources available to California schools. The state no longer develops or approves model curricula.

Finally, changes to the course of study and state mandates on school districts to instruct students in specific content circumvents the state’s process of developing content standards and curriculum frameworks, and can be highly disruptive to local curriculum and instructional materials adoption processes.

The Committee encourages Members to engage the Commission’s administrative process to ensure that the subject matter of concern is included in the revised frameworks.

It is therefore the policy of the Committee to discourage the introduction of legislation which requires, or requires consideration of, modifications to state curriculumframeworks or the course of study, that requires specific content be taught, or that requires the development of new model curricula or any other state-adopted curriculum, and instead encourages Members to engage in existing administrative processes as delineated above.